

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 25 2006

REMARKS

The Office Action of 01/23/2006 has been carefully considered. In response thereto, the claims have been amended as set forth above. Reconsideration in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

The Abstract has been amended as required. The objection to the drawing is noted. Clarification is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8 and 10-12 were rejected as being anticipated by Tuttlebee. The remaining claims were rejected as being unpatentable over Tuttlebee in view of various secondary references. The claims have been amended to more clearly distinguish over the cited reference. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

In particular, the claims have been amended to recite organizing the data in accordance with a hierarchical information structure and associating with the data prior to transmission multiple status indicators, transmitting the data and status indicators, receiving the data and status indicators, ascertaining a present status of the application, comparing the ascertained present status with the status indicators, and enabling the application to read a portion of the data if the ascertained present status of the application is within the scope of the status defined by the a status indicator associated with that portion of the data and inhibiting the application from reading the data otherwise. No such feature is believed to be taught or suggested by Tuttlebee.

With the foregoing clarifications, the claims are now believed to be allowable. Notice of the same is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "M. Ure", is written over a horizontal line.

Michael J. Ure, Reg. 33,089

Dated: 08/25/2006